Carpenter Reservoir and Middle Bridge River Fish Habitat and Population Monitoring

BRGMON-4





Acknowledgements

BC Hydro



INSTREAM



- 1. What are the basic biological characteristics of fish populations?
- 2. Will the WUP alternative result in positive, negative, or neutral impact on fish populations?
- 3. What operating parameters contribute to fish productivity?
- 4. Is there a relationship between Middle Bridge River flow and fish productivity in the reservoir or river?
- 5. Can operations of be refined to improve fish populations?

How does elevation affect fish?



WUP vs Modified Operations



BRGMON-4 Field Methods

- BT mark recapture
- Biological characteristics (length, weight, age)
- Kokanee tributary surveys
- BT movement analysis
- Middle Bridge River Mountain Whitefish spawner surveys



What have we seen so far?

- Overall less habitat volume throughout the year and less food originating in the reservoir
- Declining kokanee and Bull Trout populations
- Potentially declining Mountain Whitefish populations
- Shift to older Bull Trout
- Changes in Bull Trout movement behaviour



Results 2020: Bull Trout Abundance

Evidence of decline in adult Bull Trout as a result of modified operations





Results 2020: Kokanee Abundance

- Spawner Surveys:
 - 2019:0
 - 2020: 1
- One kokanee captured
 during mark-recapture
- Kokanee captured by anglers
- Not likely to recover under modified operations





Results 2019: Bull Trout Movement





Results 2019: Bull Trout Movement



Results 2020: Larger/Older Bull Trout

Mean fork length and age of Bull Trout captured during mark-recapture has increased since mod-operations.





Results 2020: Mountain Whitefish Spawner Index

- Weekly angling
- Total MW caught
- Reflects number present and angler ability





- 1. What are the basic biological characteristics of fish populations?
- 2. Will the WUP alternative result in positive, negative, or neutral impact on fish populations?
- 3. What operating parameters contribute to fish productivity?
- 4. Is there a relationship between Middle Bridge River flow and fish productivity in the reservoir or river?
- 5. Can operations of be refined to improve fish populations?



What are the basic biological characterist. of fis' populations?

- Developed a database of biological characteristics:
 - Bull Trout
 - Rainbow Trout
 - Mountain Whitefish
 - Kokanee



Will the WUP alternative result in positive, negative neutral impact on fish populations?

- Neutral effect of N2-2P
- Negative effect of modified high flow operations
 - Increased entrainment
 - Decreased productivity/food availability
 - Decreased habitat availability



What operating parameters contribute to fish productivity?

- Elevation affects fish productivity
- Consistently low reservoir elevation in the spring may result in lower growing season productivity (less food)
- Slow reservoir filling and/or low summer elevations
 - Restrict access to spawning tributaries
 - Reduce preferred habitat volume



www.instream.net

Is there a relationship between Middle Pridge River Jw and fish productivity in the reservoir or river.

- Timing of stage decreases do not indicate substantial risk of Mountain Whitefish egg dewatering, or Bull Trout and kokanee redd dewatering
- Evidence of a potential decline in Mountain Whitefish spawner abundance



Can operations of be refined to improve fish populations?

- Operate the reservoir more like a lake to improve productivity of lake fish
- Increase minimum elevations to reduce stranding and entrainment risks





Year 9 and 10

Continue:

- Bull Trout abundance sampling
- Electroshocking bio sampling
- Kokanee spawner Surveys

Revisit:

• Monthly tributary electroshocking

Year 9 and 10

New Methods:

- BT stomach content analysis
- Kokanee shoreline electroshocking
- Physical habitat monitoring





